ASSESSMENT GRIDS AS QUALITY MECHANISMS 2016-2017 2019-2020

St. Xavier's College, Autonomous, Mumbai
ASSESSMENT OF WRITTEN ASSIGNMENT

Dept. of <u>fsychology</u>	Course Code APSY0401	,	DATE: 8/2/28
NAMES OF STUDENTS and			
Andrya Charm	261		
Sasha Nazaret	233 21 5 4		

TITLE OF WRITTEN PRESENTATION: Sunsu & Humour and Interpersonal
Attraction

100 %	ASSIGNMENT	80-100% (17-20Marks)	60-80% (13- 16 Marks)	40- 60% (912Marks)	20-40% (5-8 Marks)	0-20% (0-4 Marks)
60%	CONTENT	Excellent - Impression of wide reading (research), good knowledge and comprehensive understanding. Evidence of thoughtful input. Ability to critique, Bibliography mentioned.	Good	Satisfactor y	Poor	Very Poor
(12)		(12)/(11)/(10)	(9) / (8)	(7)/(6)	(5) / (4)	(3)/(2)/(1)
30 %	ORGANISATION	Effective Presentation, Logical Format, Clear Statement of Ideas, Relevant Details, sequence of information and ideas could be easily followed	Few Problems	Many problems	Inadequate presentation, Ineffective format, Ineffective Communication of Ideas, Lack Relevant Details – But an attempt	No Attempt to organize
(6)		(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)
5 %	VOCABULARY	Richness of Vocabulary	Very good range of vocabulary with some errors	Good range of vocabulary with some errors	Small range of vocabulary with errors	Little or no effort to demonstrate vocabulary knowledge
(1)		(1)	(1)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)
5%	GRAMMAR, SPELLINGS, MECHANICS	Grammar, Spellings, Punctuations Correct. (1)	Very Few Errors (1)	Some Errors	Many Errors (0. 5)	No effort (0. 5)

TOTAL MARKS FOR WRITTEN ASSIGNMENT: _____OUT OF 20

NAME OF FACULTY MEMBER: Dean Fernandes SJ

SIGNATURE: ______

S WINDS A WOND A WORK OF THE PARTY OF THE PA

Anogris wedletaired expected

INTRODUCTION: -

What is interpersonal attraction?

According to A. Aron, G. Lewandowski, in International Encyclopaedia of the Social & Behavioural Sciences (2001),

"Interpersonal attraction is traditionally defined in social psychology as a positive attitude or evaluation regarding a particular person, including the three components conventionally ascribed to attitudes: behavioural (tendency to approach the person), cognitive (positive beliefs about the person), and affective (positive feelings for the person)."

Another approach treats attraction as the desire to form a friendly or romantic relationship with a particular person. Attraction is often treated as equivalent to liking. Loving, particularly being 'in love,' with someone, is sometimes seen as a very strong or special kind of attraction which can be called as a 'romantic attraction' which includes exclusivity and sexual interest. Attraction in the above senses is distinguished from attractiveness characteristics of people such as good looks or desirable personality that make others be attracted to them.

There are seven important factors in the field of interpersonal attraction which are;

- 1. Chemistry (the physical attraction)
- 2. Proximity (the bonding factor)
- 3. Similarity ('like attracts like' given by the Attraction theory)
- 4. Complementarity (personalities that create harmony)
- 5. Attachment styles
- 6. Subconscious models
- 7. Similar core values
- 8.Other factors (which stage are you in your life?, rewards, values, etc.)

One of the factors which we considered for our research is Humor. We compiled a questionnaire and conducted the experiment among twenty (20) couples. Further, we've analyzed the results and come to a conclusion.

Review of Literature:

INTERPERSONAL ATTRACTION AND THE ROLE OF HUMOR

Humor Use in Romantic Relationships- In this study, the authors Bethany Butzer and Nicholas A. Kuiper

explored the use of positive, negative, and avoiding humor in 2 types of situations by individuals in romantic relationships. Participants rated their frequency of humor use in either a typical conflict scenario with their partner or a typical pleasant event. Participants also indicated their overall degree of romantic relationship satisfaction. (In terms of the above study, we conducted research where couples were asked to rate their conflict solving methods and the use of humor during conflicts) Hierarchical regression analyses revealed that individuals who were more satisfied with their relationship reported higher levels of positive humor use and lower levels of negative and avoiding humor use. Furthermore, lower levels of negative and avoiding humor use were reported for the conflict situation. Last, a significant 2-way interaction revealed that individuals who were high in relationship satisfaction reported significantly lower levels of negative humor use in a conflict situation as conflict situation.