

ASSESSMENT GRIDS AS QUALITY MECHANISMS 2016-2017 2019-2020

St. Xavier's College, Autonomous, Mumbai
ASSESSMENT OF WRITTEN ASSIGNMENT

Dept. of PSYCHOLOGY

Course Code APSYD401

DATE: 08.02.2020

NAMES OF STUDENTS and UIDs and Roll Nos.

AASHUMI VARAIYA - 181054 - 191

SHANAYA D'SILVA - 181071 - 198

HARSHVI PANDYA - 181282 - 242

PRACHI GHIYA - 181357 - 263

HIRAL KAPADIA - 181361 - 265

skunde
29/11/19

ASSIGNMENT
 TITLE OF WRITTEN PRESENTATION: INTERPERSONAL ATTRACTION: DATING v/s MARRIED

100 %	ASSIGNMENT	80-100% (17-20Marks)	60-80% (13- 16 Marks)	40- 60% (9-12Marks)	20-40% (5-8 Marks)	0-20% (0-4 Marks)
60%	CONTENT	Excellent - Impression of wide reading (research), good knowledge and comprehensive understanding. Evidence of thoughtful input. Ability to critique, Bibliography mentioned.	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor
(12)		(12) / (11) / (10)	(9) / (8)	(7) / (6)	(5) / (4)	(3) / (2) / (1)
30 %	ORGANISATION	Effective Presentation, Logical Format, Clear Statement of Ideas, Relevant Details, sequence of information and ideas could be easily followed	Few Problems	Many problems	Inadequate presentation, Ineffective format, Ineffective Communication of Ideas, Lack Relevant Details – But an attempt	No Attempt to organize
(6)		(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)
5 %	VOCABULARY	Richness of Vocabulary	Very good range of vocabulary with some errors	Good range of vocabulary with some errors	Small range of vocabulary with errors	Little or no effort to demonstrate vocabulary knowledge
(1)		(1)	(1)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)
5%	GRAMMAR, SPELLINGS, MECHANICS	Grammar, Spellings, Punctuations Correct	Very Few Errors	Some Errors	Many Errors	No effort
(1)		(1)	(1)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)

TOTAL MARKS FOR WRITTEN ASSIGNMENT: 19 OUT OF 20

NAME OF FACULTY MEMBER: Dean Fernandes SJ

Exam referees

SIGNATURE: *[Signature]*



Aashumi Varaiya – 181054 – 191
Shanaya D'Silva – 181071 – 198
Harshvi Pandya – 181282 – 242
Prachi Ghiya – 181357 – 263
Hiral Kapadia – 181361 - 265



1.0 Abstract

The paper aims to view the effect of socioeconomic status as well as educational qualification on physical attractiveness and interpersonal attraction. It seeks to understand the psyche that people hold when in a relationship as well as the influence of physical attraction and similarity of attitudes and beliefs holds on the relationship. Also, it seeks to understand the phenomenon of opposites attract or birds of the same feathers flock together and people's perception of their relationship with respect to the phenomenon.

2.0 Review of Literature

Attraction, as defined by Byrne (1973) is the power or ability to evoke interest, liking, or pleasure for something or someone. Interpersonal attraction is a function of the individual's perception of the other physical, social, mental, or reputational attributes, and his perception of his own qualities as he thinks these will be perceived by the person opposite. It is composed of three components in terms of attitude: behavioral (tendency to approach the person), cognitive (positive beliefs about the person), and affective (positive feelings for the person).

The formation of interpersonal attraction is attributed to physical proximity, physical attractiveness, and similarity in attitudes and personality, each of which can be described via a classic study (see Hatfield & Rapson, 1993, for a review; Harvey & Omarzu, 1998). In certain cases, familiarity also plays a huge role. Accounting to these factors for an individual, the said interpersonal attraction, could be a dot in the spectrum of weak to strong. Different aspects of the "fit" between two partners have relative importance at different stages in their courtship, especially transitions like in a relationship and then to be married. For instance, during early courtship stages, demographic similarity might be of utmost importance in promoting a couple's relational growth. Later, as the couple begins to enter more committed or involved stages of courtship, similarity of underlying attitudes and belief systems, and compatibility with regard to interpersonal styles might become more important in determining interpersonal attraction and eventually longevity of the relationship.

The relationship of physical attractiveness to interpersonal attraction has recently been the subject of a considerable number of investigations. Physical attractiveness has a lot of importance, with respect to at least the initial liking for the person. Byrne et. al (1968) focused on two principal theoretical formulations which have been advanced to account for interpersonal attraction. Cognitive consistency theorists stress the importance of the balance or symmetry of the positive and negative attitudes and orientations of any two individuals